

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,802	. 10/07/2003	Harry Tang	9400-53	4801
	7590 05/09/2007 L SIBLEY & SAJOVE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 37428			VU, VIET DUY	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	<u> </u>
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The distance of the control of					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/680,802	TANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Viet Vu	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety of the provision of the pr	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Dec	ecember 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul><li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		ved in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ved			
	or the defined deplet her reserv				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	· <del>-</del>	Date Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/680,802

Art Unit: 2154

## Art Rejections:

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Takatsu</u>, U.S. pat. Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0087414.

Per claims 1-3, <u>Takatsu</u> discloses a system and method for managing a communication interface between an order generating program that generates an order and an order processing program that processes/settles the order comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/680,802

Art Unit: 2154

a) monitoring time that has elapsed since the order was passed from the order generating program (server 11) to the order processing program (server 3) (see par. 98-100 and 176);

**b)** determining that the order processing/settlement has not completed after a predetermined time period, and removing the monitored order from the monitoring queue (see par. 177).

Takatsu does not explicitly teach restarting the communication interface between the order generating program and order processing program.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any conventional error processing (clean-up) steps in <a href="Takatsu">Takatsu</a> because it would have enabled the monitoring program continuing to operate properly to monitor elapsed times of other pending orders.

Per claims 4-9, it would have been further obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize any time thresholds in practicing Takatsu's invention.

Per claim 10, the generation of a report or logged event in response to a detected error is well known in the art.

Claims 11-21 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-10.

Art Unit: 2154

## Conclusion:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zun In

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 5/3/07